UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THERESA MUZIKAR,

Plaintiff,

٧.

TARGET CORPORATION,

No. 8:23-CV-0528 (DNH/CFH)

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

TODD M. RUBIN, ESQ.

Finz & Finz, P.C. 410 East Jericho Turnpike Mineola, New York 11501 Attorneys for plaintiff

Ryan, Smith & Carbine, P.C. 511 Glen Street Glens Falls, New York 12801 Attorneys for defendants ANTONIN I.Z. ROBBASON, ESQ. EDWARD D. LAIRD, JR., ESQ.

DECISION & ORDER

On January 25, 2024, defendants filed a sealed letter motion relating to the discovery of certain of plaintiff's medical records. <u>See</u> Dkt. No. 21.¹ On February 13, 2024, the Court held a status conference, during which time, the Court determined, as relevant here, "[b]efore any formal Motion to Compel is required, Plaintiff agrees to obtain Plaintiff's records and provide them to the Court for an <u>in camera</u> review." Text Min. Entry dated Feb. 13, 2024.

¹ Plaintiff opposed the sealed letter motion, defendants filed a reply, and plaintiff filed a surreply. <u>See</u> Dkt. Nos. 24-26.

During a phone conference on April 3, 2024, the Court directed that certain of plaintiff's medical records to be delivered to the Court for an <u>in camera</u> review. <u>See</u>

Text Min. Entry dated Apr. 3, 2024. Plaintiff's medical records were thereafter provided to the Court. The Court has conducted an <u>in camera</u> review of plaintiff's medical records from the Behavioral Health Services North – Center for Well-Bring and the Rutland Regional Medical Center.

Based upon that review, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that defendants' letter motion seeking to compel the reviewed medical records (Dkt. No. 21) is **DENIED** as nothing in those medical records is the proper subject of discovery pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Ξ

Dated: May 3, 2024 Albany, New York Christian F. Hummel
U.S. Magistrate Judge